

**North Ayrshire Licensing Board
20 March 2013**

Irvine, 20 March 2013 - At a meeting of the North Ayrshire Licensing Board at 10.00 am in the Council Chambers, Cunninghame House, Irvine.

Present

Tom Marshall (Chair), Robert Barr, Ian Clarkson, Ruth Maguire, Alex McLean, Donald Reid and Robert Steel.

In Attendance

W O'Brien, Solicitor (Licensing), G Cullen, Licensing Standards Officer, C Pollock, Licensing Administration Officer, Paul Brennan, Specialist Environmental Health Officer (Noise), Chief Inspector Hogg and Police Constable McLean, Strathclyde Police.

Chair

The Chairman Councillor McNicol was absent due to ill-health.

The Solicitor (Licensing) advised the Board of 2005 Act, Sch. 1, Para. 6(5). Accordingly an election was held in public to elect another Member to chair the meeting.

Councillor Barr proposed that Councillor Marshall (the Vice Chairman) be the Chairman for the meeting. This motion was seconded by Councillor Steel. The Solicitor (Licensing) enquired of Members if there was any counter-motion. There was none. The Motion was unanimously assented to, and Councillor Marshall took the Chair.

Apologies for Absence

Ronnie McNicol

1. Licences and Applications under the Licensing (Scotland) Act 2005.

The Board considered a report by the Clerk to the Licensing Board on matters under the Licensing (Scotland) Act 2005. The Report, on this occasion, contained 1 Appendix;

A. Premises Licence applications or any other applications requiring a Hearing.

The Licensing Board agreed to dispose of the matters as follows;

A. Premises Licence applications or any other applications requiring a Hearing

A.1 Premises Licences 0088 & 0117 Martin McColl Limited

On the basis of information initially received from the Licence Holder's agent, and subsequently confirmed by the Chief Constable, Martin McColl Ltd were cited to attend the Board for a Section 37 Review Proposal. The Licence Holder was not represented.

The Board considered the terms of a report by the Solicitor (Licensing) which set out the Chief Constable's Notice and summarised the issues arising. The Board also had regard to a report supplied by Grace Cullen, Licensing Standards Officer.

Having considered the terms of both reports, the Chair moved that no action be taken. There was no counter-motion and the Board unanimously agreed without dissent or abstention to take no action against the Licence Holder.

A.2 Premises Licence 0036 Sugar & Spice, 26/28 Main Street, Largs

The Board considered an application for variations of a Premises Licence made by Toni Dawson for the above premises. Ms Dawson was present.

The Licence Holder was requesting the following variations:

1. Increase capacity from 3.19 to 7.51 sq.m.
2. Dis-apply Condition as to the retention period for CCTV recordings.

The Board considered the terms of a report by the Solicitor (Licensing) which set out the results of consultations on the application and summarised the issues arising. Mr O'Brien updated the Board on progress made against the observations set out in the report.

Thereafter Ms Dawson addressed the Board on the issues arising. With regard to variation 2, she explained that the CCTV system in place at the premises is a very basic system which is able to retain recordings for 1 week, and its primary purpose is to act as a deterrent. Ms Dawson clarified that she was not seeking to remove Standard Condition X.1 (d) altogether, she was requesting that the Condition be amended to reflect the 1 week retention capability of her system.

Having considered the terms of the report, and the submission made, the Chair moved that all variations applied for be granted. There was no counter-motion and the Board unanimously agreed without dissent or abstention to grant. The Board's Standard Conditions Edition 5 will now apply.

Standard Condition X.1(d) (CCTV) is amended to read: 'The Licence Holder shall retain recordings for not less than one week;.

A.3 Premises Licence 0395 Bothan Bar, Broomlands Gate, Irvine

The Board resumed consideration of an application for variations of a Premises Licence made by Bothan Lic Ltd for the above premises. The Licence Holder was represented by Joanna Millar, Solicitor, and she accompanied by Daljit Kaur Sangha (Director, Bothan Lic Ltd, and Premises Manager).

The Licence Holder was requesting the following variations:

1. Additional wording for OP Qu.4 re intention to seek festive extensions.
2. Various amendments to OP Qu.5 to allow activities out with Core Hours.
3. Clarify range of activities in OP Qu.5(f).
4. Amend arrangements for under-18s access.

5. Remove Conditions as to the playing of pool and darts in areas admitting Children under 16.

The Board considered the terms of a report by the Solicitor (Licensing) which set out the results of consultations on the application and summarised the issues arising. Mr O'Brien updated the Board on progress made against the observations set out in the report.

Mr O'Brien highlighted that consideration of the application was continued at the meeting on 6 February, to allow for the Board to make a site visit to the premises. The site visit was conducted on 19 February.

There had been 2 objections lodged with the Licensing Section in relation to the application. The first objection was made by the Police in a letter to the Board, dated 11 January 2013. The other objection, an anonymous letter, dated 2 January 2013, was rejected by the Board as frivolous or vexatious at the meeting on 6 February.

Ms Millar addressed the Board on the issues arising. She explained that she wished to make some amendments to the application before the Board heard from the Police. She acknowledged that variation 1 is not competent and can be regarded as deleted from the application. Ms Millar stated that it was her understanding that the Police do not have any issues with variations 2 and 3. Regarding variation 4, her client wishes to amend the application to request access for all under 18s until 8.00 pm, but extend under 18s access to the full duration of a function, when applicable. All under 18s would be accompanied by an adult at all times and should the variation be granted, baby changing facilities would be installed. Ms Millar suggested that the Board might continue consideration of variation 5 to a future meeting.

Chief Inspector Hogg confirmed that the Police agreed with all of Ms Millar's comments and suggestions. In response to a question from Councillor Reid, Chief Inspector Hogg stated that the Police required there to be a segregated or separate, enclosed area for children.

Ms Millar then responded to questions from Councillors Barr, Reid and Clarkson. Councillor Reid stated that the site visit was very positive and he commended the Licence Holder for installing an excellent CCTV system at the premises.

Having considered the terms of the report, and the submissions made, the Chair moved that the Board decide to grant variations 2, 3 and 4, as amended by Ms Millar, and continue consideration of variation 5 to a future meeting. Councillor Barr seconded the motion. There was no counter-motion and the Board unanimously agreed without dissent or abstention to grant variations 2, 3 and 4, as amended by Ms Millar, and continue consideration of variation 5 to a future meeting.

Children and young persons are permitted to be at the premises for the duration of a function, or until 8.00 pm at all other times. Under-18s must be accompanied by an adult at all times.

A.4 Ryan Reid (Reference NA 1488)

The Board considered an application for a Personal Licence made by the above Applicant. The Applicant was neither personally present nor represented.

The Board considered the terms of a report by the Solicitor (Licensing) which set out the Chief Constable's Notice under Section 73(3)(b) of the 2005 Act and summarised issues arising. The Board noted the Chief Constable's recommendation of refusal, made under Section 73(4).

Having considered the terms of the report, Councillor Barr moved that the application be refused. Councillor Steel seconded the motion. There was no counter-motion and the Board unanimously agreed without dissent or abstention to refuse.

The Board refused the application under Section 74(6)(a) for the purposes of the following Licensing Objective: (a) preventing crime and disorder.

A.5 Steven Hall (Reference NA 1482)

The Board considered an application for a Personal Licence made by the above Applicant. The Applicant was neither personally present nor represented.

The Board considered the terms of a report by the Solicitor (Licensing) which set out the Chief Constable's Notice under Section 73(3)(b) of the 2005 Act and summarised issues arising.

Having considered the terms of the report, Councillor Barr moved that the application be refused. Councillor Maguire seconded the motion. There was no counter-motion and the Board unanimously agreed without dissent or abstention to refuse.

The Board refused the application under Section 74(6)(a) for the purposes of the following Licensing Objective: (a) preventing crime and disorder.

A.6 Premises Licence 0432 Gulab Brasserie, 2A Stanecastle Road, Irvine

The Board considered an application for variations of a Premises Licence made by Summel & Summel Ltd for the above premises. The Licence Holder was represented by Ian Hunter, Solicitor, and he was accompanied by Rajinder Summel (Premises Manager).

The Licence Holder was requesting the following variations:

1. Add Outdoor Drinking Area at front of Premises.
2. Variation of arrangements for under-18 access, so as to permit persons of and over 12 in the public bar.
3. Removal of Board Conditions as to the playing of pool and darts in areas admitting children.

The Board considered the terms of a report by the Solicitor (Licensing) which set out the results of consultations on the application and summarised the issues arising. Mr O'Brien updated the Board on progress made against the observations set out in the report.

The Board then had regard to a report supplied by Mr Paul Brennan, North Ayrshire Council Specialist Environmental Health Officer (Noise).

In total, 5 objections had been lodged with the Licensing Section in relation to the application. Mr Hunter confirmed that he had seen a copy of the objections prior to the Board meeting. Copies of the written objections were given to Board Members. Objectors Hugh Kennedy and Kenneth Crombie were present.

Mr Kennedy and Mr Crombie then addressed the Board, re-iterating the content of their written objections. Mr Kennedy responded to a question from Councillor Reid.

Thereafter Mr Hunter addressed the Board on the issues arising and he responded to questions from Councillors Clarkson and Reid. He explained that his client wishes to

enhance and develop the business, without having a detrimental effect on the local area. Regarding variation 1, the proposal is for 4 moveable tables to be located at the front of the building (on the white chipped area either side of the main entrance), to be used by patrons to consume food and have a drink. The area would be supervised at all times and the Licence Holder would undertake to keep noise emission from the area, and the premises in general, to a minimum. Mr Hunter also confirmed that there would be no net loss of car parking as additional spaces would be created elsewhere. Regarding variation 2, the intention is to enhance and develop the 'family friendly' nature and orientation of the premises.

Referring to Mr O'Brien's report, Mr Hunter confirmed that his client was aware of the planning issues and the requirement to make an application to the Planning Department.

Mr Crombie stated that a number of the local residents do wish the Licence Holder well with the business. However, the operation and development of the premises should not be at the expense of the neighbouring residents.

The Board adjourned at 10.46 am and re-convened at 11.01 am.

Having considered the terms of the report, and the submissions made, Councillor Barr moved that the Board decide to refuse variation 1, and grant variations 2 and 3. Councillor Maguire seconded the motion. There was no counter-motion and the Board unanimously agreed without dissent or abstention to refuse variation 1 and grant variations 2 and 3. Standard Conditions C.10.3 (d) and (e) are disapplied.

In relation to variation 1, the Board considered that the following ground for refusal applied:

That the granting of the application would be inconsistent with one or more of the Licensing Objectives, in particular Licensing Objective (c) preventing public nuisance.

Mr Hunter requested a Section 32 direction from the Board in relation to the decision to refuse variation 1. The Chair confirmed that the Board directed that subsection 32(2) would not apply in relation to any subsequent Application made within one year, from 20 March 2013.

A.7 Si Café, Bar and Restaurant, 18 Kilwinning Road, Irvine

The Board resumed consideration of an application for grant of a Provisional Premises Licence made by Simpsinns Ltd for the above premises. The Applicant was represented by Joanna Millar, Solicitor, and she was accompanied by Irene Leslie of Simpsinns Ltd.

The Board considered the terms of a report by the Solicitor (Licensing) which set out the results of consultations on the application and summarised the issues arising. Mr O'Brien updated the Board on progress made against the observations set out in the report.

Mr O'Brien explained that consideration of the application was continued at the meeting on 6 February to allow the applicant to amend the application so as to include an outdoor drinking area. Statutory processes of neighbour notification and site notice display were then repeated. As a result of the repeated processes, 8 objections were lodged with the Licensing Section in relation to the application. Ms Millar confirmed that she had seen a copy of the objections prior to the Board meeting. Copies of the written objections were given to Board Members. Objectors Ian Turnbull and Wilson Smith were present. Mr Turnbull stated that he was representing himself and fellow objectors Anne McCurry, Mrs Wyllie, and Mr and Mrs Bowman. Mr Smith stated that he was representing himself and Mr and Mrs Lovie, who were also objectors to the application.

Ms Millar acknowledged the Board's policy and procedures in relation to how a premises in North Ayrshire would apply to obtain extra hours, and she confirmed that the reference to festive extension in section 4 of the operating plan should be deleted. She also confirmed that under 18s would be accompanied by an adult.

Mr Turnbull and Mr Smith then addressed the Board, making extensive submissions based on the content of their written objections. Mr Turnbull, in particular, detailed a number of issues and problems that were of concern to the residents in Highet Gardens. He highlighted that the premises was currently only 40% open, but residents are already suffering problems, so opening the upper floor and beer garden would only make things worse. Mr Turnbull acknowledged that the Applicant had taken some steps to implement temporary measures to deal with some of the issues. These temporary measures were appreciated by the residents, however, permanent solutions were needed to issues such as the lack of parking provision, the location of the bin store, and the proposal for an outdoor drinking area at the front of the premises, looking onto Kilwinning Road. By their own admission, a large proportion of Mr Turnbull and Mr Smith's submissions related to Planning matters, with particular reference to what may or may not have been granted to the applicant in terms of Planning permissions. Arguing that the premises had not been constructed in accordance with the Planning Permission, Mr Turnbull stated that the current licence was 'defective', and he asked how the Board could therefore consider granting an amendment to it.

Thereafter Ms Millar addressed the Board on the issues raised by the objectors. She stated that Planning matters are not the remit of a Licensing Board, referring to the case of Brightcrew Ltd v City of Glasgow Licensing Board. Ms Millar said that although she was reluctant to go into Planning issues at the Licensing Board, she could give an assurance that the premises had been constructed, and will be operated, in accordance with the Planning Permission that was granted. She stated that both areas of the premises are, and always have been described as 'bar-restaurant'. She also gave an assurance that all of the issues raised by the objectors were being looked at by the Applicant. Mr Simpson of Simpsinns Ltd has over 20 years of experience running licensed premises in the area and he has been in detailed discussions with North Ayrshire Council Planning and Roads Departments throughout the project development and construction process.

Regarding the parking issues, Ms Millar said that a number of measures had already been taken, such as directing staff not to park in Highet Gardens or the surrounding area, and consideration had been given to further potential solutions, such as an arrangement to utilise the parking provision at the Woodlands Centre, which is directly next door to the premises. Ms Millar confirmed that any option involving the conversion of the landscaped area at the front of the premises to form additional parking spaces would be an absolute last resort from the Applicant's perspective. The Applicant has already spent a large amount of money constructing the landscaped area and it is intended that this is where the outdoor drinking area will be.

Regarding the proposed outdoor drinking area at the front of the premises, Ms Millar said that the intention was that the area would serve as an extension of the inside restaurant, and it would be an area for patrons to eat when the weather was nice. The area would be monitored and controlled by the premises management to ensure that no disorder or misbehaviour occurred. Disorder is not envisaged however as there are no concerns about the type of clientele that the premises are intending to attract. There will be no cheap alcohol promotions. Ms Millar accepted that the outdoor drinking area would be visible from Kilwinning Road, but she rejected any suggestion that it posed any risk to under 18s.

Regarding the content of Section 5(f) of the Operating Plan, Ms Millar explained that she was required by statute to include all proposed activities that might occur at the premises, even if

it was just once a year. The premises would operate as a bar-restaurant. Ms Millar completed her submission by highlighting the fact that if, in the future, residents do have issues or complaints about the way in which the Licence Holder is operating the premises then the legislation allows residents to bring a Review Application to the Licensing Board.

The Chair confirmed that the Board was well aware that it did not have a remit in terms of Planning matters.

Mr Turnbull and Mr Smith re-addressed the Board. Mr Turnbull again argued that the premises had not been constructed in accordance with the Planning Permission granted. The upstairs section of the premises was clearly a restaurant, not a bar, and it was his understanding that there was no planning permission in place for a restaurant in the upstairs part of the premises. Mr Smith informed the Board that he had had to contact Environmental Health on 3 occasions to report that the bins at the premises were overflowing.

The Chairman again told Mr Turnbull that the Board could not deal with Planning matters.

Paul Brennan, North Ayrshire Council Specialist Environmental Health Officer (Noise) confirmed that complaints had been received regarding overflowing bins at Si, but the issues had now been rectified.

Ms Millar then responded to questions and comments from Councillors Reid, McLean, Steel and Clarkson. She confirmed that the proposed outdoor drinking area would be fenced and the appropriate Standard Conditions would be fully adhered to. Ms Millar also acknowledged Councillor Clarkson's information that the Woodlands Centre belonged to the Common Good, which was administered by the elected Members, and any contact or negotiation regarding any potential use of the land should be made with the elected Members.

Councillor Barr asked Mr Turnbull if he was suggesting that the Board should close the premises. Mr Turnbull said that no, that was not what he was suggesting, but he was suggesting that the licence may be defective or invalid.

Mr O'Brien, asked Ms Millar to confirm that the matter before the Board today was an application for Provisional Premises Licence, and that in the meantime the Premises were operating on a sequence of Occasional Licences. Ms Millar confirmed that this was the case.

Referring to the information confirmed by Ms Millar, Mr O'Brien informed Mr Turnbull that the Board were today considering an application for a new licence, not an application to vary an existing licence, and therefore it was incorrect to claim that the licence was defective.

The Board adjourned at 12.05 pm and re-convened at 12.16 pm.

Having considered the terms of the report, and the submissions made, Councillor Clarkson moved that the Board decide to grant the application, on the basis that it does not include permission for an outdoor drinking area within the landscaped area at the front of the premises, and that under 18s are only permitted entry until 10.00 pm and must be accompanied by an adult. Councillor Maguire seconded the motion. There was no counter-motion and the Board unanimously agreed without dissent or abstention to grant, on the basis of Councillor Clarkson motion in its entirety. The Provisional Licence is granted subject to Standard Conditions (Edition 5) Parts A, B, C and F.

A.8 Premises Licence 0284 Largs Halkshill Bowling Club, 15 Wilson Street, Largs

The Board considered an application for variations of a Premises Licence made by Largs Halkhill Bowling Club for the above premises. The Licence Holder was represented by Peter Copeland (Immediate Past President) and David Fyfe (Treasurer).

The Licence Holder was requesting the following variations:

1. Increase Sunday Core Hours from 12.30-23.00 to 11.00-24.00.
2. Vary arrangements for under-16 access.
3. Permit non-alcoholic drinks in Outdoor Drinking Area.

The Board considered the terms of a report by the Solicitor (Licensing) which set out the results of consultations on the application and summarised the issues arising. Mr O'Brien updated the Board on progress made against the observations set out in the report.

Thereafter Mr Copeland addressed the Board on the issues arising. Regarding the request to vary the access arrangements for under-16s, Mr Copeland explained that this is primarily to allow children to be present at functions after 8.00 pm. He clarified that children would be supervised by an adult at all times and it would remain the case that under-18s are not permitted in the bar area.

Having considered the terms of the report, and the submission made, the Chair moved that all variations applied for be granted. There was no counter-motion and the Board unanimously agreed without dissent or abstention to grant. The Board's Standard Conditions Edition 5 will now apply.

Standard Condition C.5.2 (Outdoor Drinking Areas) is amended to read: No alcoholic drinks shall be taken into or consumed in such areas earlier than 11.00am and later than 10.00pm.

A.9 Premises Licence 0274 Routenburn Golf Club, Routenburn Road, Largs

Councillor Marshall, declared an interest in the case. He withdrew, left the Council Chambers, and took no part in the proceedings relating to Routenburn Golf Club.

As Councillor Marshall had been chairing the meeting the Solicitor (Licensing) advised the Board of 2005 Act, Sch. 1, Para. 6(5). Accordingly an election was held in public to elect another Member to chair this part of the meeting.

Councillor Maguire proposed that Councillor Barr be the Chairman. This motion was seconded by Councillor Clarkson. The Solicitor (Licensing) enquired of Members if there was any counter-motion. There was none. The Motion was unanimously assented to, and Councillor Barr took the Chair.

The Board resumed consideration of an application for variations of a Premises Licence made by Routenburn Golf club for the above premises. The Licence Holder was represented by Andrew Hunter, Solicitor and he was accompanied by John McGown (Treasurer, Routenburn Golf Club).

Mr. Hunter sought confirmation that all Members now present had also been present when the case had last called, on 6 February. The Solicitor (Licensing) confirmed this.

The Licence Holder was requesting the following variations:

1. Vary layout plan to add second outdoor drinking area.
2. Amend under-18s access.
3. Permit children in rear lounge (pool and darts).

4. Add 'live performances' to operating plan.
5. Add karaoke, race nights and tribute nights to operating plan.
6. Remove Board conditions re toilet monitoring and capacity checks.

The Board considered the terms of a report by the Solicitor (Licensing) which set out the results of consultations on the application and summarised the issues arising. Mr O'Brien updated the Board on progress made against the observations set out in the report.

Mr O'Brien highlighted that variations 2, 3 and 6 were granted at the previous Board meeting on 6 February, and consideration of variations 1, 4 and 5 was continued at the same meeting, to allow for the Board to make a site visit to the premises. The site visit was conducted on 19 February.

In total, 5 objections and 1 representation were lodged with the Licensing Section in relation to the application and all of the submissions were considered by the Board when the case initially called at the meeting on 6 February. Copies of the written submissions were again given to the Board Members. Objector Jonathan Marshall was present and he was represented by Joanna Millar, Solicitor.

Mr Hunter addressed the Board on the issues arising. He acknowledged the report from Environmental Health. Mr Hunter submitted a plan showing the proposed location of the 2 metre high fence which would screen the outdoor drinking area. He gave an assurance, on behalf of the Club, that the Standard Conditions would be fully adhered to. He added that it was in the interest of the Club membership to ensure that any outdoor area was used appropriately and policed correctly.

In relation to variations 4 and 5, Mr Hunter confirmed that the Club would undertake to cease live entertainment at midnight. He suggested that the Board may consider granting these variations for a trial period of 3 or 6 months, and that the Licensing Standards Officer and Environmental Health could be notified in advance of scheduled events.

The Board then had regard to a report supplied by Mr Paul Brennan, North Ayrshire Council Specialist Environmental Health Officer (Noise).

Ms Millar then addressed the Board on the issues arising. Regarding variation 1, she stated that it was still her stance that her client's family would be adversely affected if permission was granted for use of the additional outdoor drinking area, as proposed. In relation to variations 4 and 5, Ms Millar referred to a very 'telling' report from Environmental Health and argued that the premises are unsuitable for the activities being requested. She added that even a trial period would not be appropriate as the premises are simply unsuitable at present. Her client remained extremely worried about the potential disturbance he and his family would suffer if these permissions were granted.

The Chair asked Mr Brennan if the use of noise limiting equipment on the Club's sound system had been considered. Mr Brennan said that the use of such equipment might defeat the purpose of having the proposed activities at all as the likelihood is that the level would require to be set very low.

Referring to Mr Brennan's report, Mr Hunter said that unfortunately instructing the services of a professional acoustic consultant is something that the Club cannot afford due to expense. He respectfully requested that the Board permit the Club the opportunity to try and demonstrate that events can be held without there being nuisance and disturbance to neighbours.

The Board adjourned at 12.47 pm and re-convened at 1.05 pm.

Regarding variation 1, having considered the terms of the report, and the submissions made, Councillor Reid moved that this variation should be permitted, but only for a trial period of 6 months, and with a variation of Standard Condition C.5.2, so that the terminal hour for use of the outdoor area should be 9.00 pm. Councillor McLean said that he would favour grant to 9.00 pm., but not limited to a trial period. Councillor Reid said that he would withdraw the 'trial period' part of his motion, and Councillor McLean said that he would second the motion. There were no other motions. There were no abstentions. The Members unanimously indicated assent. Accordingly the motion, as amended, was carried. Standard Condition C.5.2 is amended to read:

'No drinks (alcoholic or not) shall be taken into or consumed in such areas earlier than 11.00 am. and later than 9.00 pm'

Regarding variations 4 and 5, Councillor Reid moved that the variations should be granted for a trial period of 6 months, there should be no more than 6 events in that period, and the Board should itself make a Premises Licence Review Proposal under Section 37, with the Hearing to call at a Board occurring after that 6 month period. The ground of Review was the 'preventing public nuisance' and 'protecting and improving public health' Licensing Objectives. The Motion was seconded by Councillor McLean. There was no other motion or abstention. The Motion was approved by consensus.

Councillor Reid observed that this was without prejudice to any action taken by Environmental Health under their own legislation.

Mr. Hunter asked if the decision (a maximum of 6 events over 6 months) included race nights. The Chair confirmed that it did.

The Solicitor (Licensing) advised parties that the Section 37 Review Proposal did not prevent anyone commencing Section 36 Review Application procedure earlier.

Councillor Marshall re-entered the Council Chambers and re-joined the meeting, resuming his role as Chair.

A.10 Kevin Scott – Volunteer Rooms, Irvine

The Board considered an application for an Occasional Licence (044/13) made by Kevin Scott for the Volunteer Rooms on 11 May 2013. Mr Scott was present.

The Board considered the terms of a verbal report by the Solicitor (Licensing) which set out the results of consultations on the application and summarised the issues arising.

Having considered the terms of the report, the Chair moved that the application be granted. There was no counter-motion and the Board unanimously agreed without dissent or abstention to grant.

The meeting ended at 1.09 pm.